# Mononuclear Heterocyclic Rearrangements. Part 7.1 Evidence for General Base Catalysis in the Rearrangement of the Z-Phenylhydrazone of 3-Benzoyl-5-phenyl-1,2,4-oxadiazole into 2,5-Diphenyl-4-benzoylamino-1,2,3-triazole in Dioxan-Water 

By Vincenzo Frenna and Nicolo Vivona, Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Palermo, Via Archirafi 20, Palermo 90123, Italy<br>Giovanni Consiglio, Antonino Corrao, and Domenico Spinelli, Cattedra di Chimica Organica, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Bologna, Bologna 40126, Italy<br>The title reaction has been studied at various $p S^{+}$and buffer concentrations. Kinetic constants for uncatalysed and catalysed pathways have been calculated. A mechanism for the rearrangement is offered.

From a study of the mononuclear heterocyclic rearrangement (m.h.r.) ${ }^{2,3}$ of the $Z$-phenylhydrazone of 3 -benzoyl5 -phenyl-1,2,4-oxadiazole (I) into 2,5-diphenyl-4-ben-zoylamino-1,2,3-triazole (II) we recently showed ${ }^{3} a$ the occurrence of two possible pathways for the rearrangement (I) $\longrightarrow$ (II)


The first, uncatalysed pathway occurs in the $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$ range 3.8-6.5, the second, base-catalysed, predominates at $\mathrm{p} S^{+}>8.0$; at $\mathrm{p} S^{+} 6.5-8.0$ both mechanisms operate. Moreover, we suggested that the base-catalysed reaction is probably governed by general catalysis.

In order to extend the information on the nature of base catalysis in our system we now report data on the influence of the type of buffer and of its concentration on the reaction rate at constant ionic strength and at constant or variable $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$.

A rearrangement reaction subjected to general catalysis obeys the kinetic law (1) $\dagger$ where $\left(k_{A}\right)_{R}$ represents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}=k_{\mathrm{u}}+\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} k_{i}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]+\Sigma_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}} k_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{~K}}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the apparent kinetic constant for the rearrangement, $k_{\mathrm{u}}$ the uncatalysed specific rate constant, and the terms $k_{i}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$ or $k_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]$ refer respectively to any bimolecular or termolecular catalysed reaction pathway. By using a suitable number of $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ values under chosen experimental conditions it is possible to obtain all the coefficients pertaining to the single steps.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have studied the rearrangement (I) $\longrightarrow$ (II) in the presence of two buffers, sodium borate-boric acid and sodium phenoxide-phenol, at various $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$, buffer concentrations, and/or temperatures (Tables 1 and 2). At constant $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$the $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ values increase with buffer

[^0]concentration indicating general catalysis. Taking into account the $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$range considered and the observation that at $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$3.6-6.5 the rearrangement rate is constant, ${ }^{3}$ we have fitted (see Experimental section) the observed $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ values to equation (2) where $k_{\mathrm{OH}}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right], k_{\mathrm{B}}[\mathrm{B}]$, and
\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}=k_{\mathrm{a}}+k_{\mathrm{OH}}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+k_{\mathrm{B}}[\mathrm{~B}]+k_{\mathrm{A}}[\mathrm{~A}]+ \\
k_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{B}]+k_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{OH}}[\mathrm{~B}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$
\]

$k_{A}[\mathrm{~A}]$ represent the bimolecular reaction pathways with catalysis by hydroxide ion and by the basic ( B ) and the acidic (A) component of buffer, respectively; whereas $k_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}[\mathrm{A}][\mathrm{B}]$ and $k_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{OH}}[\mathrm{B}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$imply a termolecular reaction pathway with catalysis by both A and B or both B and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, respectively. Of course, the terms depending on hydroxonium ion concentration have not been included in equation (2). The results of fitting at 298.15 K are in Table 3.

Since $k_{\mathrm{A}}, k_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}$, and $k_{\mathrm{B}, \text { он }}$ either give meaningless (negative) contributions to $\left(k_{A}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ or have a high inherent uncertainty, the relevant terms in equation (2) have been neglected.

Using the criterion proposed by Hammett ${ }^{4, \ddagger} \ddagger$ to identify the kind of catalysis it is possible to show that the rearrangement studied is general base-catalysed.

The values of $k_{\mathrm{u}}$, which are the intercepts of the regression function, are affected by a large inherent uncertainty. The average value of $k_{\mathrm{u}}\left(2.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ previously measured in the $\mathrm{pS}^{+}$independent range is certainly more reliable.

The values of $k_{\text {OH }}$ obtained with the two buffers are very similar ( 3.8 and $4.21 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ).
Since the base is not consumed as the reaction proceeds, it also follows that an acid (water or each acid present in solution) must be involved in a fast step. Of course, this observation holds for all the base-catalysed pathways.

The catalytic constant of borate ion, as expected, is lower than $k_{\text {OH }}\left(k_{\mathrm{OH}} / k_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} \mathrm{BO}_{3}, c a .400\right)$ but at any buffer concentration the term $k_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BO}} \mathrm{B}_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$gives a significant contribution to the rearrangement rate.

[^1]Table 1
Apparent kinetic constants and activation parameters calculated at 298.15 K for the rearrangement $(\mathrm{I}) \longrightarrow(\mathrm{II})$ at various $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$and borate buffer concentrations

| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$- | 10.37 | 10.92 | 11.37 | 11.72 | 11.87 | 12.14 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{5}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.81 | 12.5 | 35.9 | 67.2 | 90.1 | 158 |  |  |  |
| $\Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 92 | 90 | 83 | 92 | 91 | 90 |  |  |  |
| $\Delta S^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -21 | -18 | -32 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9.62 | 10.13 | 10.30 | 10.64 | 10.87 | 11.12 | 11.40 | 11.62 | 11.90 |
| $10^{5}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.994 | 2.85 | 3.70 | 7.22 | 12.5 | 23.6 | 35.9 | 62.0 | 110 |
| $\Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 90 | 89 | 93 | 94 | 91 | 87 | 89 | 90 | 90 |
| $\Delta S^{\ddagger} d$ | -38 | -34 | -18 | -8 | -14 | -24 | -13 | -4 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}{ }^{\prime}$ | 9.70 | 9.98 | 10.11 | 10.48 | 10.72 | 11.12 | 11.31 | 11.55 | 11.98 |
| $10^{5}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{6}$ | 1.48 | 2.53 | 3.38 | 7.02 | 10.9 | 26.2 | 40.3 | 64.7 | 148 |
| $\Delta H^{\ddagger} \mathrm{c}$ | 90 | 92 | 90 | 92 | 95 | 89 | 90 | 87 | 88 |
| $\Delta S^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -37 | -25 | -27 | -18 | -4 | -15 | -9 | $-13$ | $-3$ |

a Total buffer concentration, $0.125 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{b}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{K}} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, values calculated by activation parameters. The experimental rate constants were measured in the range $283-333 \mathrm{~K}$ and were reproducible to within $\pm 3 \% .{ }^{c} \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$; the maximum error is $2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
$d \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$; the maximum error is $8 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. ${ }^{e}$ Total buffer concentration, 0.025 M . $f$ Total buffer concentration, 0.05 m .
Table 2
Apparent kinetic constants and activation parameters calculated at 298.15 K for the rearrangement (I) $\longrightarrow$ (II) at various $\mathrm{pS}{ }^{+}$and phenoxide buffer concentrations

| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}{ }^{+}$ | 9.56 | 10.02 | 10.30 | 10.61 | 10.92 | 11.02 | 11.38 | 11.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{5}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.699 | 1.85 | 3.40 | 6.55 | 12.4 | 15.6 | 33.2 | 58.6 |
| $\Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 84 | 88 | 87 | 84 | 85 | 87 | 85 | 85 |
| $\Delta S^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -62 | -41 | -39 | -44 | -33 | -26 | -28 | -21 |
| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9.48 | 9.82 | 10.07 | 10.36 | 10.53 | 10.91 | 11.18 | 11.50 |
| $10^{5}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.667 | 1.36 | 2.31 | 4.40 | 6.19 | 13.9 | 24.0 | 47.3 |
| $\Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 92 | 91 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 87 | 88 | 90 |
| $\Delta S \ddagger d$ | -34 | -32 | -36 | -26 | -21 | -25 | -18 | -8 |
| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}{ }^{+}$ | 9.45 | 9.81 | 10.09 | 10.41 | 10.68 | 10.91 | 11.23 | 11.57 |
| $10^{5}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.803 | 1.70 | 3.05 | 5.76 | 10.3 | 16.7 | 32.4 | 65.5 |
| $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ c | 90 | 88 | 87 | 90 | 86 | 84 | 86 | 87 |
| $\Delta S^{\ddagger} d$ | -42 | -41 | -39 | $-23$ | -33 | -34 | -23 | -14 |

Table 3
Multiple linear regression analysis ${ }^{a}$ of kinetic data according to equation (2) at 298.15 K

| Buffer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{5} k_{\mathrm{u}} / \\ & \mathrm{s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{OH}_{\mathrm{OH}}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{oH}} /}{1 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{2}\left(k_{\mathrm{B}} \pm s_{k_{k^{\prime}}}\right) / \\ & \quad 1 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}} \pm s_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}}\right) / \\ & \quad 1 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4}\left(k_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}} \pm s_{k_{A, \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{~B}}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{l}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-\mathrm{i}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{OH}} \\ 1^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}-s_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{OH}}}}{ }$ | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.35 | $3.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | 0 | $-0.21 \pm 0.04$ | 0 | 0.9995 |
| 1 | -0.59 | $3.8 \pm 0.6$ | $0.98 \pm 0.07$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9986 |
| 2 | 0.62 | $4.1 \pm 0.3$ | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.63 \pm 0.43$ | 0 | $3.7 \pm 2.9$ | 0.9999 |
| 2 | 0.59 | $4.1 \pm 0.3$ | $0.90 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.71 \pm 0.43$ | 0 | 0 | 0.9999 |
| 2 | 0.39 | $4.2 \pm 0.9$ | $0.89 \pm 0.02$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9999 |

a $s_{k_{\mathrm{i}}}, s_{k_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}}}$, standard deviations of $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $k_{1, \mathrm{k}}$, respectively; $R$, multiple correlation coefficient. The number of points is 24 throughout. ${ }^{b}$ Buffers: 1, sodium borate-boric acid; 2, sodium phenoxide-phenol.

The catalytic constant of phenoxide ion is again lower than $k_{\text {OH }}\left(k_{\text {OH }} / k_{\text {PhO }} c a .400\right)$. Due to the similarity of the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of boric acid and phenol, $k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BO}}$ is similar to $k_{\mathrm{Pho}}$. Also in this case, the term $k_{\mathrm{Ph} O}\left[\mathrm{PhO}^{-}\right]$makes a significant contribution to the rearrangement rate, at any buffer concentration.
The catalytic constants obtained for $\mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{PhO}^{-}$allow further confirmation of general catalysis. In fact, we have applied the Brönsted relationship to our set of bases, including $k_{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}}, *$ and obtained $\beta 0.46(r$ 0.9997 ), i.e. a value which indicates general base catalysis. ${ }^{4}$
This is further supported by the results obtained from a study of kinetic isotope effects at various $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$values. The $\alpha-N$-deuteriated $Z$-phenylhydrazone of 3 -benzoyl-5-

[^2]phenyl-1,2,4-oxadiazole (ID) readily exchanges deuterium with protium (and vice versa) in the presence of water, in accord with Brodskii's ${ }^{6}$ observation that proton transfers between atoms with unshared electron

(ID)
pairs (involving a four-centre concerted process) are very rapid. The rearrangement of (ID) has been carried out in dioxan $-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The relevant kinetic constants (see Table 4) have been measured at 313.15 K both in the $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$-independent range and in the base-catalysed range. The Figure shows a comparison between the $\log \left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$

Table 4
Rate constants at 313.15 K for the rearrangement of (ID) in dioxan $-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$

| $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$ | $4.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5.08{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5.85{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $6.28{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $9.95{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $10.48{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $11.18{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $11.85{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{6}\left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{0}$ | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 34.0 | 107 | 460 | 1750 |
| $\left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathbf{R}}\right]_{\mathbf{H}} /\left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathbf{R}}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}$ | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.0 |

values for (I) and (ID) as a function of $\mathrm{p}^{+} S$. The $\left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}\right]_{\mathrm{H}} /\left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}$ ratio is 1.8 in the $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$-independent range and 2.9 in the base-catalysed range. These values indicate that the reaction is faster in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ than in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Plot of $\log \left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}\right]_{\mathrm{H}}(O)$ in dioxan-water and of $\log \left[\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}(\bullet)$ in dioxan- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, at 313.15 K , respectively, versus $\mathrm{pS}^{+}$and $\left(\mathrm{p} S^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{p} S^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}$ calculated from the relation $\left(\mathrm{p} S^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{p} S^{+}+$ 0.37 according to A. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1975, 947
and involves a proton transfer in the rate-controlling step. ${ }^{6}$ The measured ratios agree with the different time of bond-breaking and -forming [ $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{B}$, see (III)] in the two ranges.

(III)

$$
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{PhO}^{-}
$$

The data agree with a general base-catalysed rearrangement with a transition state such as (III), similar to that proposed ${ }^{3 b}$ for the rearrangement of (I) in benzene promoted by piperidine.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis and Purification of Compounds.-Compounds (I), (II), dioxan, and water were prepared and/or purified according to the methods reported. ${ }^{3}$
$\mathrm{pS} S^{+}$and Kinetic Measurements.—An operational pH scale, $\mathrm{p} S^{+}, 7 a$ was established in aqueous dioxan by employing the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of acids determined by interpolation from the data reported by Harned and Owen. ${ }^{7 b}$ For
dioxan-water ( $\mathbf{1 : 1} \mathbf{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) the meter reading after calibration against buffers was not significantly different from $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$; in fact it was necessary to apply a correction of +0.16 to the meter reading.

The kinetics (at constant ionic strength 0.05 m ) were followed spectrophotometrically by measuring the disappearance of (I) at $\lambda_{\text {max. }} 366 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \varepsilon 4.22 \pm 0.02)$. Compound (II) does not absorb at this wavelength. In the range of $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$studied the spectra of (I) and (II) were practically $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$-independent. The concentrations of (I) used were $c a$. $6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$.

At each studied $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$(I) rearranged to (II) in high yields ( $\geqslant 98 \%$, as determined by u.v.-visible spectral analysis at infinity).
(Z)- $\alpha$-N-Deuteriated Phenylhydrazone of 3-Benzoyl-5-phenyl-1,2,4-oxadiazole (ID).-To a solution of (I) ( 1 g ) in dioxan ( 15 ml ), an excess of deuterium oxide ( $c a .8-10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added slowly and with stirring until the solid separated. The mixture was carefully heated until the solid dissolved and then left at room temperature. On standing, pure (ID) crystallised. An n.m.r. test confirmed complete (I) $\longrightarrow$ (ID) isotope exchange.
$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Determination of Boric Acid and Phenol in WaterDioxan (1:1, v/v). -The title determination was carried out using the experimental values of $\mathrm{pS}^{+}$for the considered acids at different buffer ratios (see Tables 5 and 6). Assuming $\gamma_{\mathrm{A}} 1$ and using the activity coefficients extrapolated from the data of Harned and Owen, ${ }^{7 b}$ a least-squares treatment of data according to equation (3) gives equations (4) and (5).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log a_{\mathrm{B}} / a_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{p} S^{+}  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{BO}}=11.69 \pm 0.03 \quad(r 0.994)  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{PhOH}}=11.93 \pm 0.03 \quad(r 0.999) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Calculations.-The values of $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ used in the leastsquares treatment were calculated at 298.15 K from activation parameters. The values of hydroxide ion concentration were calculated using 15.80 as the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$ value in dioxanwater ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ${ }^{8}$ and 0.545 as the mean activity coefficient in the same system. ${ }^{7}$ The values of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{PhO}^{-}\right]$, and $[\mathrm{PhOH}]$ were calculated from known total buffer concentrations and using $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} 11.69$ and 11.93, respectively, for boric acid and phenol (see above).

The values of $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}}$ in Table 3 were obtained using multiple linear regression analysis of the apparent kinetic constants, $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$, at 298.15 K using equation (2).

The program used computes a sequence of regression equations in a stepwise manner. At each step one variable is added to the regression equation. The variable added is the one which makes the greatest reduction in the error sum of squares. Variables with too low $F$ values are automatically removed.

An examination of the results of correlations reported in Table 3, shows that experimental data are better described by a four- or five-parameter than by a three-parameter equation (compare lines 1 and 2, or 3-5). However, the

Table 5
Experimental values of $\mathrm{pS}{ }^{+}$measured at different [sodium borate] : [boric acid] ratios

| $a_{\mathrm{B}} / a_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{a}$ | 0.046 | 0.074 | 0.136 | 0.363 | 0.817 | 1.272 | 2.180 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pS |  | 10.30 | 10.54 | 10.82 | 11.23 | 11.63 | 11.79 |
|  |  |  | $a$ | Total buffer concentration, | 0.025 M. |  |  |

Table 6
Experimental values of $\mathrm{pS}^{+}$measured at different [sodium phenoxide] : [phenol] ratios

| $a_{\mathrm{B}} / a_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{a}$ | 0.074 | 0.172 | 0.545 | 0.817 | 1.271 | 1.820 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p S^{+}$ | 10.75 | 11.17 | 11.65 | 11.82 | 12.05 | 12.20 |

a Total buffer concentration, 0.025 m .
improvement is only apparent in that the confidence level does not change significantly. Moreover, a negative regression parameter has no physical meaning. Thus, in the Discussion section we have used the parameters obtained by the two-independent-variables fit.

In view of the numerous approximations involved in the calculations the agreement between experimental and calculated $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ values has to be considered good. On the other hand we only comment on the relative magnitudes of $k_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}}$ rather than attach any particular meaning to their absolute values.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ The same law holds for nucleophilic or electrophilic catalysis.

[^1]:    $\ddagger$ 'A general base catalysis is recognizable if for some base $B_{1}$ the rate of the catalysed reaction is significant compared both with the rate due to catalysis by the lyonium ion and with that due to the solvent.'

[^2]:    * $k_{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}}$ has been calculated from the relation $k_{\mathrm{u}}=k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]+$ $k_{\text {Dioran }}$ Dioxan] using $k_{\mathrm{u}}$ measured in the $\mathrm{p} S^{+}$-independent range (see above), $k_{\text {Dioxan }}{ }^{5}$ and neglecting the mixing effect of the two solvents.

